

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERČI United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/608,431	06/30/2003	Yoshitsugu Nakatomi	086305-0109	7161	
22428	7590 06/07	2005	EXAM	EXAMINER	
FOLEY AND LARDNER			LEUNG, PHILIP H		
SUITE 500 3000 K STR	EET NW	·	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHING	TON, DC 20007		3742		
		•	DATE MAILED: 06/07/200	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			whi
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/608,431	NAKATOMI, YOSH	ITSUGU
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Philip H Leung	3742	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence add	ress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a r - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state than three months after the may be a searched patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of third od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tute, cause the application to become AE	eply be timely filed by (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this con BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nmunication.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24	March 2005.		
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ TI	his action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	vance except for formal matt	ers, prosecution as to the	merits is
closed in accordance with the practice unde	r <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D). 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-8 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Exami 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on <u>08 December 2004</u> is Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction. 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	s/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)☐ ne drawing(s) be held in abeyar ection is required if the drawing	ice. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFF	R 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a limit	ents have been received. ents have been received in A riority documents have been eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National S	stage
Attachment(s)	-		
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No(s	tummary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO- 	152)

Application/Control Number: 10/608,431

Art Unit: 3742

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ishimaru (US 2000-029332) (newly cited), in view of Kato (US 5,713,069) (previously cited).

Ishimaru shows "a fixing device employing an induction heating coil system comprising: a heating roller 7 having an induction heating coil" (see Figures 1-4 and the English abstract). It uses different winding pitch (higher number of coil turns) on the ends of the heating roller in order to compensate the heating deficiency instead of a covering member having a heat accumulation (or a heat generating) member as claimed. Kato shows "a fixing device employing an induction heating coil system 12, comprising: a heating roller 10 having an induction heating coil 12 disposed therein, a pressing roller 11 for pressing said heating roller 10, and preheating member 30 is the claimed covering member having a heat accumulating/generating member secured thereto (see Figures 1-3 and col. 3, line 28 – col. 6, line 34). Furthermore, as it teaches that "the circular arc-shaped portion 31 is arranged to cover the fixing roller 10 as widely as possible and by setting the distance between the surface of the fixing roller 10 and itself as small as possible, the distance from the area where the induction magnetic flux generates is shortened. In addition to this, the quantity of heat of the heat generating portion can be made smaller

Application/Control Number: 10/608,431

Art Unit: 3742

allowing the temperature to rise faster" (col. 5, lines 33-45). Therefore it can be seen that the circular arc member 31 obviously extends to the width of the paper and the heating roller in order to cover the whole paper. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify Ishimaru to use a cover member having a heat accumulation or generating member instead of the use of an induction heating coil having a higher number of coil turns at the end of an effective heating generating area of the heating roller as the device to compensate for the insufficient heating for better uniform heating result, in view of the teaching of Kato. In regard to claims 2 and 5, the use of an insulating member to cover a heating device to reduce heating loss is routine in the art of electric heaters (see Kato, col. 7, lines 33-44 and claim 18). In regard to claims 3 and 6-8, the use of circular pipe with an opening as the shape of the covering member would have been a matter of engineering variations of the circular arc of Kato depending on the exact amount of desired heating.

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-8 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Page 3

Art Unit: 3742

4. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Philip H Leung whose telephone number is (571) 272-4782.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robin Evans can be reached on (571) 472-4777. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Philip H Leung

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3742